GLADYS NILSSON Phyllis Kind GROUP SHOW Lorence-Monk

By TIMOTHY COHRS

GLADYS NILSSON

ladys Nilsson is one of the origal Hairy Who artists who, mactly twenty years ago, began a deady and insidious infiltration of he corridors of thought in the merican art world. Unlike the hen-reigning Pop artists of the lew York art scene, the Hairy The artists turned their back on dvertising, film, and television as ources ripe for plundering in the notherlode of popular culture. fore often, they looked instead lower rung sources, such as omic books, bathroom graffiti. aunchy humor of the locker room ariety, naive art, and peppered it with irrepressible puns (both isual and linguistic). Their imporance, if doubted, was demontrated earlier this decade when he East Village scene sprang into fe and gallery after gallery was ung with work that was prooundly influenced by that handof once Chicago-based artists. seemed as if a whole new genration of art-school-trained artsts had entered the Manhattan cene with the visual tenets of ladys Nilsson, Jim Nutt, Art reen, Suellen Rocca, James Faloner, and Karl Wirsum, just as he previous generation had held hose of Hofmann or Pollock, This s, of course, not to suggest that he current art school generation ould name the original Hairy Tho one by one, but that these oung artists demonstrated an unerstanding of and an affinity for work that the Hairy Who bomarded the art world with two decdes ago.

In the twenty years since her ebut, Nilsson has worked preminantly in the medium of atercolor. Her current show is ntirely large-size watercolors, nd they demonstrate her masry. A flowing, sinuous line is as ften her subject as the friezelike ouping of humorously distorted gures or the brightly hued patms that dot all of her current orks. In the large diptych Léger nire, the ostensible subject matis the construction of two use frames being erected by o distinct swarms of carpenrs: the left-hand group all male, e right-hand all female. Yet in fact, the incredibly complicated dotting of the picture plane by different-sized figures that all squirm and twist in different manners, creates such a strong, visual pattern that it overrides all else, allowing you to focus on only one of these figures at a time.

Nilsson's males are anatomically a curious breed. Usually they appear to be hairless and bulge about the middle to one degree or another, but all are equipped with oversized conical penises that look like oddly shaped pillows or stuffed jester's hats. These devices sag or rise under stimuli that appear to have more to do with compositional forces than the erotic. I particularly enjoyed the one in Terry Towel which is used as nothing more than a break in the bright pattern of a towel that swoops behind it.

Nilsson's women are another matter. Though they can be as caricatured and ridiculous as the overly genitaled males, they bear much more of the content's weight. That content is certainly nothing literary or literal, it is instead a sort of lyrical speculation on the fantasies and extremes of women's roles. For example, The Swimming Hole displays a large, central female lounging odalisque-like in the midst of a group of attentive and nude male bathers. I read this as a lighthearted comment, in curving line and subtle color, on a female fantasy of male adoration.

The single, most powerful statement to come through Nilsson's current body of work is her selfassured mastery of both medium and imagery. These are mature works, and as such they carry ongoing themes to a state of refine-

ment and elegance that is hard to imagine when you consider the wackiness of her figures independently. (Phyllis Kind, January 10-31)

GROUP SHOW

This was a perplexing grouping of six artists because the work of no more than any two was related, either visually or conceptually. A found object sculptor, a photographic appropriationist, printmaker, a performance artist, a traditional sculptor, and a building portrait photographer present a baffling potpourri.

Laurie Parsons finds cast-off objects and, through her personal editing process, dubs them found sculpture. They are presented exactly as originally found, with the only alteration being that of site and what chipping and shifting may have resulted from moving. A small bookcase long ago painted gold and most likely long ago discarded, a frame for a foldaway bed, two metal patio chairs set one atop the other and holding a taped, brown paper package, all present a stark, startling, and even disquieting visage in the clean clear space of this gallery.

Paul Laster appropriates famous photographs of famous photographers, such as Man Ray and Lartigue, and covers them with tape transfer collage. What this amounts to is several hundred tiny pieces of tape per photograph, each strip bearing a color or image element. The result is surprisingly pleasing, as subtle decorative patterns and motifs blanket without obliterating the famous images beneath.

Judy Fiskin presents groupings of tiny black and white photographic portraits of very similar buildings-in this case mainly one and two family houses. The variations in the structures grouped together can be minute, say a doorway moving from right front to left front and back again with a porch added, or their differences can be so great that their contrasting geometric silhouettes seem to be the relationship under study. In any event, the content of these small photographs is so mute that they become almost as objectlike as the buildings they represent.

Paul Mogensen is represented by a series of seven woodblock prints. These depict very basic geometric shapes singly or grouped together in white on beige Kitikata paper. These appeared so basic as to lose their subtlety. However, more prints from the same series were on view in the back office and in these the artist had printed his shapes in gold leaf with very striking and very different results.

Brian Gayman was represented by three cast iron globes that rest on tubular steel legs. Each of these globes appeared almost identical to the others, and yet there were slight surface differences in coloration and texture that distinguish one from the other. The interesting surfaces have a ceramic look to them that is reminiscent of raku pottery.

Finally, Kim Jones was represented by altered photographs and one sculpture. Jones is perhaps more well known as the Mud Man, who in art seasons past would cover himself in burlap and mud and carry a complicated arrangement of bound sticks. I remember him most frequently in front of the Mary Boone space on West Broadway with a gaggle of tourists standing about him with instamatics clicking. The photographs of him that have been altered with gouache, acrylic, and ink seem nothing more than an elaboration on something better left in the original. His sculpture, Worm Man, is another matter. Here the primitiveness of his Mud Man costume is transferred onto a black and white insectlike creation that seems part-totem and part-prehistoric specimen. Hopefully more of these will be on view in the future. (Lorence-Monk, January 10-31)

Gladys Nilsson, The Swimming Hole, 1986. Watercolor on paper, 401/2 x 597/8". Courtesy Phyllis Kind Gallery.



